

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/692,075 10/19/2000 Ken Harris 22176 6304 EXAMINER 29127 09/15/2004 7590 ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J **HOUSTON ELISEEVA** 4 MILITIA DRIVE, SUITE 4 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT LEXINGTON, MA 02421 1756

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
	09/692,075	HARRIS, KEN	\supset ,
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	is
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 29 and 37-42.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26,28,32-36,43 and 44</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
0. ☐ Other:	, , , , , ,	$\overline{}$	_
		Tefler	
		Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	

appley!

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Katoka et al. JP 08-039572 teaaches post baking at 240 degrees as well as IBM technical disclosure and Sassmannshausen et al. '768. The use of polyimide as a material for stamping and/or embossing materials is clearly obvious from the record. The applicant has added limiations which deal with the conventional processing of photoresist materials (including polyimide) when these are patterned either photolithographically or by contact with a relief surface as discussed in the reference of record. One of ordinary skill in the art would view the particular techniques of processing a material as analogeous and would look to these as functional alternatives. The applicant argues that Fan is not relevant, but neglects the fact that a different resist materials with a different curing temperature and glass transition temperature are used in Fan et al., than in Sassmannshausen. The mode of applying the phtoresist shown by Fan et al. would be applicable to any photoresist in solution/liquid form and the advantage is the seamless photoresist film formed. The rejection stand.